

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,672	04/21/2006	Makoto Sanpei	1210360-089	7213	
35684 BUTZEL LON	7590 11/03/200 JG	9	EXAMINER LENIHAN, JEFFREY 8		
IP DOCKETII	NG DEPT				
350 SOUTH N SUITE 300	350 SOUTH MAIN STREET SLITTE 300			PAPER NUMBER	
ANN ARBOR	, MI 48104		1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/03/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT@BUTZEL.COM BOUDRIE@BUTZEL.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,672	SANPEI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Lenihan	1796				

	Jeffrey Lenihan	1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
IE REPLY FILED 10/29/2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Whe reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as fling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee house 73 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)).								
AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS AMEN								
NOTE:	The rejection of claims 2,4, and 6 nis rejection was overcome was inar r any inconvenience this error cause	under 35 U.S.C. 112, dvertently omitted from ed	2 nd paragraph is n the Final					
The status of the claim(s) is proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
The request for reconsideration has been considered out does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Other: See Continuation Sheet.								
	/ Irina S. Zemel/ Primary Examiner. Art U	nit 1796						

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that the non-funcionalized plasticizers(NFPs) of Yang correspond to a paraffin plasticizer and would therefore be distinguishable from the claimed component (b), which is an a plan-befin oligomer. The varience notes that applicant bases this allegation on properties that are recited in the claims of Yang; however, it has been held that "a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one shaving ordinary skill in the art, including non-preferred embodiments," Merck & Co. v. Blocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.). Also, "[d]slocised examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or non-preferred embodiments," In re Susi 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971), (MEPS § 2123 (R-5)).

The teachings of Yang are not limited to the claimed embodiments. In its broadest disclosure, Yang teaches that the NFP is hydrocarbon compound that does not contain appreciable amounts of specific functional groups (paragraph 0051). The prefire of isopparaffin content (0067), n-paraffin content (0070), dearomaticized hydrocarbon content (0072) cited by applicant are disclosed by Yang as properties of certain embodiments. Yang does not require that the NFP has these characteristics.

Yang specifically discloses compositions wherein the NFP comprises an oligomer of a C8 to C14 olefin (paragraphs 0076-077), corresponding to the claimed component (b). The examiner therefore maintains the position that Yang discloses the use of olefin oligomers as NFPs.

Regarding the use of the NFPs with EPDM. Yang teaches that propylene polymer modified with the NFP may also comprise up to 10% by weight diense (014s). The examiner therefore maintains the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the damper containing an EPDM-composition rendered obvious by the combination of Ikemoto and Hong by adding the NFP of Yang to the polymer composition as discussed in the previous Office Action, incorporated herein by reference.